
From: James Dixon - NOAA Federal <james.dixon@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 1:38 PM
To: Jones, Rob
Subject: Re: Follow-up question from yesterday's call

Thanks Rob,

This is helpful.

On Thu, Jan 14, 2021 at 1:32 PM Jones, Rob <rjones@nwifc.org> wrote:

Hey James,

My thinking is that for this year, we will not have time to delve deeply into the implications of FRAM BP 7.0 on our agreed-to management objectives. So, we will likely be using version 6.2 this year. Mid Hood Canal will still need to be resolved. The state has suggested that they want to explore flexibility within the limits on Stillaguamish, but I don't think we will be renegotiating the overall limits for the coming year. Long story short, I think renegotiating objectives is more of an issue for finalizing the RMP than it is for NoF; however, there is always room for those processes to bleed together a bit. I will know a little more after our co-managers meeting on January 22, and I encourage you to remind me to update you on those discussions after we have that meeting.

Thanks,
Rob

On Thu, Jan 14, 2021 at 1:23 PM James Dixon - NOAA Federal <james.dixon@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hi Rob,

I know that folks indicated yesterday that you don't know, specifically, which objectives that state may be pushing on this year. Other than continued disagreements about Mid-HC and Stillaguamish, any indications elsewhere? We too would like to be able to formulate clear objectives in our guidance letter.

Thanks for anything you can share,

--

James Dixon
Sustainable Fisheries Division
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
360-522-3673
james.dixon@noaa.gov

--

James Dixon
Sustainable Fisheries Division
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
360-522-3673
james.dixon@noaa.gov